arXiv:1208.2571v1 [math.AP] 13 Aug 2012

ON SOME NONLINEAR OPERATORS, FIXED-POINT THEOREMS AND NONLINEAR EQUATIONS

KAMAL N. SOLTANOV

Abstract. In this article we discuss the solvability of some class of fully nonlinear equations, and equations with p-Laplacian in more general conditions by using a new approach given in [1] for studying the nonlinear continuous operator. Moreover we reduce certain general results for the continuous operators acting on Banach spaces, and investigate their image. Here we also consider the existence of a fixed-point of the continuous operators under various conditions.

1. Introduction

In the present paper we consider the boundary-value problem for the fully nonlinear equation of the second order

$$F\left(x,u,Du,\Delta u\right)=h\left(x\right), \quad x\in\Omega, \tag{1.1}$$

and also for the nonlinear equations with p-Laplacian that depend upon the parameters λ and μ

$$-\nabla\left(\left|\nabla u\right|^{p-2}\nabla u\right)+G\left(x,u,Du,\lambda,\mu\right)=h\left(x\right), \quad x\in\Omega, \tag{1.2}$$

on the smooth bounded domain $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^{n}$ $(n\geq 1)$, where $F\left(x,\xi,\eta,\zeta\right)$ and $G\left(x,\xi,\eta,\lambda,\mu\right)$ are Caratheodory functions. We deal with the properties of the nonlinear operators generated by the posed problems and study the solvability of these problems by using the general results of such type as in [1]. It should be noted that equations of such type arise in the diffusion processes, reaction-diffusion processes etc., in the steady-state case (see, for example [2 - 13] and their references). Furthermore we discuss some of the nonlinear continuous mappings acting on Banach spaces and an equation (inclusion) with mappings of such type.

The problems of such type were studied earlier under various conditions in the semilinear ([2, 3, 7 - 11, 13] etc.) and in the fully nonlinear cases ([4, 12, 14] etc.). In the mentioned articles, the known general results having such conditions that cannot be applicable to the problems considered here, were used, whereas in this article we want to investigate these problems under more general conditions. Therefore we need to use a general result that will be applicable to the considered problems here and consequently, the conditions of the general result differ from the conditions of the known results. The results of [1] and the general results adduced here allow us to study the imposed problem in more general conditions.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46T20, 47H10, 47J05; Secondary 35D35, 35J60, 35Q82 .

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear continuous operator, closed image, fixed-point, solvability theorem, nonlinear BVP .

Supported by 110T558-projekt of TUBITAK.

So for our goal we lead to a fixed-point theorem for nonlinear continuous mappings acting on Banach spaces and also a solvability theorem for nonlinear equations involving continuous operators. These general results allow us to study various nonlinear mappings and also nonlinear problems under more general conditions. Therefore we investigate boundary value problems (BVP) for the nonlinear differential equations by using the mentioned general results. Moreover we obtain the existence of the fixed point for the operator associated with imposed problem. We also note that Theorem 1 of present article is a result of the type of Lax-Milgram theorem.

This article is constructed in the following way. In section 2, we lead a general theorem that shows how one can locally determine the image of a subset of the domain of definition under the continuous mapping acting in Banach space (cf. [1, 8, 11 - 14] etc.). From this theorem we deduce the solvability theorem and an existence of the fixed point of the continuous mapping under the corresponding conditions, and consequently, an existence of the fixed point of the mapping associated with the problem, considered in the next section. In section 3, we prove a solvability theorem for an equation with the perturbed operator on Banach spaces, and then in sections 4 and 5 by using these results we study the solvability of the boundary value problem for various classes of nonlinear differential equations.

2. Some General Results on Solvability

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces and X^*, Y^* be their dual spaces, moreover let Y be reflexive with strictly convex norm together with Y^* (this condition is not complementary condition; see, for example, [15]) and $f : D(f) \subseteq X \longrightarrow Y$ be an operator.

So, we will conduct here the special case of the main result of [1]. Consider the following conditions. Let the closed ball $B_{r_0}^X(0)$ of X be contained in $D(f)$, i.e. $B_{r_0}^X(0) \subseteq D(f) \subset X$ and on $B_{r_0}^X(0)$ are fulfilled the conditions:

(i) $f : B_{r_0}^X(0) \subseteq D(f) \subseteq X \longrightarrow Y$ be a continuous operator that bounded on $B_{r_0}^X(0)$, i.e.

$$\|f(x)\|_Y \leq \mu\left(\|x\|_X\right), \quad \forall x \in B_{r_0}^X(0);$$

(ii) there is a mapping $g : D(g) \subseteq X \longrightarrow Y^*$ such that $D(f) \subseteq D(g)$, and for any $S_r^X(0) \subset B_{r_0}^X(0)$, $0 < r \leq r_0$, cl $g\left(S_r^X(0)\right) = \overline{g\left(S_r^X(0)\right)} \equiv S_r^{Y^*}(0)$, $S_r^X(0) \subseteq g^{-1}\left(S_r^{Y^*}(0)\right)$

$$\langle f(x), g(x)\rangle \geq \nu\left(\|x\|_X\right)\|x\|_X, \text{ a.e. } x \in B_{r_0}^X(0) \quad \& \ \nu(r_0) \geq \delta_0 > 0 \tag{2.1}$$

holds[1], where $\mu : R_+^1 \longrightarrow R_+^1$ and $\nu : R_+^1 \longrightarrow R^1$ are continuous functions ($\mu, \nu \in C^0$), moreover ν is the nondecreasing function for $\tau \geq \tau_0$, $r_0 \geq \tau_0 \geq 0$; $\tau_0, \delta_0 > 0$ are constants;

(iii) almost each $\widetilde{x} \in int B_{r_0}^X(0)$ possesses a neighborhood $V_\varepsilon(\widetilde{x})$, $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that the following inequality

$$\|f(x_2) - f(x_1)\|_Y \geq \Phi\left(\|x_2 - x_1\|_X, \widetilde{x}, \varepsilon\right), \tag{2.2}$$

---

[1] In particular, the mapping g can be a linear bounded operator as $g \equiv L : X \longrightarrow Y^*$ that satisfies the conditions of *(ii)*.

holds for any $\forall x_1, x_2 \in V_\varepsilon\left(\widetilde{x}\right) \cap B_{r_0}^X(0)$, where $\Phi\left(\tau, \widetilde{x}, \varepsilon\right) \geq 0$ is a continuous function at τ and $\Phi\left(\tau, \widetilde{x}, \varepsilon\right) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \tau = 0$ (in particular, $\widetilde{x} = 0$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 = r_0$ and $V_\varepsilon\left(\widetilde{x}\right) = V_{r_0}(0) \equiv B_{r_0}^X(0)$, consequently $\Phi\left(\tau, \widetilde{x}, \varepsilon\right) \equiv \Phi\left(\tau, 0, r_0\right)$ on $B_{r_0}^X(0)$),

Theorem 1. *Let X, Y be Banach spaces such as above and $f : D(f) \subseteq X \longrightarrow Y$ be an operator. Assume that on the closed ball $B_{r_0}^X(0) \subseteq D(f) \subset X$ the conditions (i) and (ii) are fulfilled then the image $f\left(B_{r_0}^X(0)\right)$ of the ball $B_{r_0}^X(0)$ contains an everywhere dense subset of M that has the form*

$$M \equiv \left\{ y \in Y \mid \left\langle y, g(x)\right\rangle \leq \left\langle f(x), g(x)\right\rangle,\ \forall x \in S_{r_0}^X(0)\right\}.$$

Furthermore if in addition the image $f\left(B_{r_0}^X(0)\right)$ of the ball $B_{r_0}^X(0)$ is closed or the condition (iii) is fulfilled then the image $f\left(B_{r_0}^X(0)\right)$ is a bodily subset of Y, moreover $f\left(B_{r_0}^X(0)\right)$ contains the above bodily subset M.

The proof of this theorem is obtained from general result that was proven in [14] (see also, [1]).[2]

Remark 1. ***1.*** *It is easy to see that the condition $B_{r_0}^X(0) \subseteq D(f)$ is not essential, because if $D(f)$ comprises a bounded closed subset $U(x_0) \subseteq X$ of some element $x_0 \in D(f)$ such that $U(x_0)$ is topologically equivalent to $B_1^X(0)$ and $U(x_0) \subseteq D(f) \cap D(g)$, then we can formulate the conditions and statement of this theorem analogously, i.e. for this we determine the operator $\widetilde{f}(x) = f(x) - f(x_0)$ and assume that*

$$\left\| \widetilde{f}(x) \right\|_{X^*} \leq \mu\left(\left\| x - x_0\right\|_X\right),$$

holds for any $x \in U(x_0)$ and

$$\left\langle \widetilde{f}(x), g(x - x_0)\right\rangle \geq \nu\left(\left\| x - x_0\right\|_X\right)\left\| x - x_0\right\|_X, \tag{2.1'}$$

holds for almost all $x \in U(x_0)$. Moreover $\nu\left(\left\| x - x_0\right\|_X\right) \geq \delta_0 > 0$ for any $x \in \partial U(x_0)$, where $g : D(g) \subseteq X \longrightarrow Y^*$ such that $D(f) \subseteq D(g)$ and g satisfies a claim analogously of the condition (ii) respect to $U(x_0)$. In this case, we define subset $\widetilde{M}_{x_0}$ in the form

$$\widetilde{M}_{x_0} \equiv \left\{ y \in Y \mid 0 \leq \left\langle f(x) - y, g(x - x_0)\right\rangle,\ \forall x \in \partial U(x_0)\right\}. \tag{2.2'}$$

2. *In the formulation of Theorem 1 we use the equality $\left\| g(x)\right\|_{Y^*} \equiv \left\| x\right\|_X$ that can be determined by the known way, i.e. $\acute{g}(x) \equiv \frac{\|x\|_X}{\|g(x)\|_{Y^*}}\, g(x)$ for any $x \in D(g) \subseteq X$.*

Condition (*iii*) of Theorem 1 can be generalized, for example, as in the following proposition.

Corollary 1. *Let all conditions of Theorem 1 be fulfilled except inequality (2.2), and instead of that, let the following inequality*

$$\left\| f(x_2) - f(x_1)\right\|_Y \geq \Phi\left(\left\| x_2 - x_1\right\|_X, \widetilde{x}, \varepsilon\right) + \psi\left(\left\| x_1 - x_2\right\|_Z, \widetilde{x}, \varepsilon\right), \tag{2.3}$$

be fulfilled for any $x_1, x_2 \in V_\varepsilon\left(\widetilde{x}\right) \cap B_{r_0}^X(0)$, where $\Phi\left(\tau, \widetilde{x}, \varepsilon\right)$ is a function such as in the condition (iii), Z is a Banach space and the inclusion $X \subset Z$ is compact, and $\psi\left(\cdot, \widetilde{x}, \varepsilon\right) : R_+^1 \longrightarrow R^1$ is a continuous function at τ and $\psi\left(0, \widetilde{x}, \varepsilon\right) = 0$. Then the statement of Theorem 1 is correct.

---

[2] We note that Theorem 1 is a generalization of Theorems of such type from

Soltanov, K.N.: On equations with continuous mappings in Banach spaces. Funct. Anal. Appl. 33, (1999) 1, 76-81.

Note. *It should be noted that this result is a generalization of the known Lax-Milgram theorem to the nonlinear case in the class of Banach spaces when all conditions of this theorem are fulfilled on whole space. Indeed, we can formulate the Lax-Milgram theorem for the linear operator T acting on the real Hilbert space X in the form:*

a) There exists a positive constant γ such that

$$|(Tx,y)| \leq \gamma \|x\|_X \cdot \|y\|_X , \quad \forall (x,y) \in X \times X \ ;$$

that is equivalent to boundedness of operator $T : X \longrightarrow X$.

b) there exists a positive constant δ such that

$$(Tx,x) \geq \delta \|x\|_X^2 \ ;$$

that is equivalent to the coerciveness of $T : X \longrightarrow X$, i.e. *T satisfies the condition (ii) for the special case ($g \equiv id$).*

Then equation $Tx = y$ is solvable for any $y \in X$.

From the condition b, it follows that

$$\|T(x_1 - x_2)\|_X \geq \delta \|x_1 - x_2\|_X \ ;$$

i.e. inequality (2.2) holds for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

From Theorem 1 it immediately follows that

Theorem 2. ***(Fixed-Point Theorem)**. Let X be a reflexive separable Banach space and $f_1 : D(f_1) \subseteq X \longrightarrow X$ be a bounded continuous operator. Moreover, let on a closed ball $B_{r_0}^X(x_0) \subseteq D(f_1)$, where $x_0 \in D(f_1)$, operator $f \equiv Id - f_1$ satisfy the following conditions*

$$\|f_1(x) - f_1(x_0)\|_X \leq \mu(\|x - x_0\|_X), \qquad \forall x \in B_{r_0}^X(x_0),$$

$$\langle f(x) - f(x_0), g(x - x_0)\rangle \geq \nu(\|x - x_0\|_X)\|x - x_0\|_X , \quad \forall x \in B_{r_0}^X(x_0), \tag{2.4}$$

and almost each $\widetilde{x} \in int B_{r_0}^X(x_0)$ possesses a neighborhood $V_\varepsilon(\widetilde{x})$, $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that the following inequality

$$\|f(x_2) - f(x_1)\|_X \geq \varphi(\|x_2 - x_1\|_X, \widetilde{x}, \varepsilon),$$

holds for any $x_1, x_2 \in V_\varepsilon(\widetilde{x}) \cap B_{r_0}^X(x_0)$, where $g : D(g) \subseteq X \longrightarrow X^*$ such that $B_{r_0}^X(0) \subseteq D(g)$ and g satisfies condition (ii), μ and ν are such functions as in Theorem 1, function $\varphi(\tau, \widetilde{x}, \varepsilon)$ has such a form as the right hand side of inequality (2.3) (in particular, $g \equiv J : X \rightleftarrows X^*$, i.e. g be a duality mapping). Then the operator f_1 possesses a fixed-point on the ball $B_{r_0}^X(x_0)$.

Definition 1. *We call that an operator $f : D(f) \subseteq X \longrightarrow Y$ possesses the P-property iff any precompact subset M of Y from $\operatorname{Im} f$ has a (general) subsequence $M_0 \subset M$ such that there exists a precompact subset G of X that satisfies the inclusions $f^{-1}(M_0) \subseteq G$ and $f(G \cap D(f)) \supseteq M_0$.*

Notation 1. *We can take the following condition instead of condition (iii) of Theorem 1: f possesses the P-property on the ball $B_{r_0}^X(0)$. It should be noted that an operator $f : D(f) \subseteq X \longrightarrow Y$ possesses of the P-property if f^{-1} is a lower or upper semi-continuous mapping.*

In the above results for the completeness of the image (Im f) of the imposed operator f, the condition *(iii)* and P-property (and also the generalizations of the conditions *(iii)*) are used. But there are some other types of the complementary conditions on f under which Im f will be a closed subset. These types of conditions are described in [1, 12, 14]. Therefore we do not conduct them here again.

3. General Result on Solvability of Perturbed Equation

Now, we lead a solvability theorem for the perturbed nonlinear equation in the Banach spaces, proved by using Theorem 1 and Corollary 1.

Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces and X^*, Y^* be their dual spaces, let $F : D(F) \subseteq X \longrightarrow Y$ be a nonlinear operator that has the representation $F(x) \equiv F_0(x) + F_1(x)$ for any $x \in D(F)$, where $F_i : D(F_i) \subseteq X \longrightarrow Y$, $i = 0, 1$ are some operators such that $D(F_0) \cap D(F_1) \equiv G \subseteq X$ and $G \neq \varnothing$.

Consider the following equation

$$F(x) \equiv F_0(x) + F_1(x) = y, \quad y \in Y \ , \tag{3.1}$$

where y is an element of Y.

Let $B_r^X(0) \subseteq G \subseteq X$ be a closed ball, $r > 0$ be a number. We set the following conditions

1) $F_0 : B_r^X(0) \longrightarrow Y$ is the continuous operator with its inverse operator F_0^{-1}, (as $F_0^{-1} : D\left(F_0^{-1}\right) \subseteq Y \longrightarrow X$);

2) $F_1 : B_r^X(0) \longrightarrow Y$ is a nonlinear continuous operator;

3) there are such continuous functions $\mu_i : R_+^1 \longrightarrow R_+^1$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\nu : R_+^1 \longrightarrow R^1$ that the following inequalities

$$\|F_0(x)\|_Y \leq \mu_1\left(\|x\|_X\right) \ \& \ \|F_1(x)\|_Y \leq \mu_2\left(\|x\|_X\right),$$

$$\langle F_0(x) + F_1(x), g(x)\rangle \geq c\,\langle F_0(x), g(x)\rangle \geq \nu\left(\|x\|_X\right)\|x\|_X ,$$

hold for any $x \in B_r^X(0)$, moreover $\nu(r) \geq \delta_0$ holds for some number $\delta_0 > 0$, where the mapping $g : B_r^X(0) \subseteq D(g) \subseteq X \longrightarrow Y^*$ fulfills the conditions of Theorem 1, where $c > 0$ is a constant;

4) almost each $\widetilde{x} \in intB_r^X(0)$ possesses a neighborhood $B_\varepsilon^X(\widetilde{x})$, $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_0 > 0$, such that the following inequality

$$\|F(x_1) - F(x_2)\|_Y \geq c_1(\widetilde{x}, \varepsilon)\,\|F_0(x_1) - F_0(x_2)\|_Y ,$$

holds for any $x_1, x_2 \in B_\varepsilon^X(\widetilde{x})$ and some number $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, where $c_1\left(\|\widetilde{x}\|_X, \varepsilon\right) > 0$ is bounded for each $\widetilde{x} \in intB_r^X(0)$;

or

4') operator $F : B_r^X(0) \subset X \longrightarrow Y$ possesses P-property, except for the existence of the inverse operator F_0^{-1} in condition 1.

Then the following statement is true by Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. *Let conditions 1, 2, 3, 4 (or 1, 2, 3, 4') be fulfilled then equation (3.1) has a solution in the ball $B_r^X(0)$ for any $y \in Y$ that fulfills the following inequality*

$$\langle y, g(x)\rangle \leq \nu\left(\|x\|_X\right)\|x\|_X , \quad \forall x \in S_r^X(0) .$$

4. Fully Nonlinear Equations of Second Order

Now, we study some nonlinear BVP with using the general results. Let $\Omega \subset R^n$ $(n \geq 1)$ be an open bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Consider the following problem

$$f(u) \equiv -\Delta u + F(x, u, Du, \Delta u) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u\mid_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

where $F(x, \xi, \eta, \zeta)$ is a Caratheodory function on $\Omega \times R^2 \times R^n$ as $F : \Omega \times R^2 \times R^n \longrightarrow R^1$, $D \equiv (D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n)$, $\Delta \equiv \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} D_i^2$ (is Laplacian), $D_i \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$.

Let the following conditions be fulfilled

(i) there are Caratheodory functions $F_0(x, \xi)$, $F_1(x, \xi, \eta)$, $F_2(x, \xi, \eta, \zeta)$: $F_0, F_1, F_2 : \Omega \times R^{n+2} \longrightarrow R^1$ such that $F(x, \xi, \eta, \zeta) = F_0(x, \xi) + F_1(x, \xi, \eta) + F_2(x, \xi, \eta, \zeta)$ for any $(x, \xi, \eta, \zeta) \in \Omega \times R^1 \times R^n \times R^1$, moreover

(a) there exist a Caratheodory function $a_1(x, \xi)$ and numbers $m_0, \nu \geq 0$, $\mu, M > 0$, $\mu + 2 > 2\nu$ such that

$$F_0(x, \xi) \equiv M \ |\xi|^{\mu} \ \xi + a_1(x, \xi) ,$$

$$|a_1(x, \xi)| \leq m_0 \ |\xi|^{\nu} + \psi(x) , \ \psi \in L_p(\Omega) , \ p > 2 , \tag{4.2}$$

hold for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and any $\xi \in R^1$, and

(b) there exist a number $\rho : 2 \geq \rho \geq 0$ and a nonnegative Caratheodory function $m_1(x, \xi, \eta) \geq 0$ such that

$$|F_1(x, \xi, \eta)| \leq m_1(x, \xi, \eta) \ |\eta|^{\rho} + k(x) , \ \forall (x, \xi, \eta) \in \Omega \times R^1 \times R^n, \tag{4.3}$$

holds, where $m_1(x, \xi, \eta) \leq M_1(x)$, and $2\left(\widehat{C}(\mu, \rho, n) \|M_1\|_{\infty}^2\right)^2 \leq M$, $k \in L^{p_1}(\Omega)$, $p_1 > 2$, where $\widehat{C}(\mu, \rho, n)$ is the coefficient of Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev (G-N-S) inequality (see, [16]).[3]

c) there exist Carathedory functions $c(x, \xi, \eta, \zeta)$, $\widetilde{F}_2(x, \xi, \eta)$ and a continuous function $k(\zeta)$ such that the following inequalities

$$|F_2(x, \xi, \eta, \zeta) - F_2(x, \xi, \eta, \zeta_1)| \leq c(x, \xi, \eta, \zeta, \zeta_1) \ |\zeta - \zeta_1| , \tag{4.4}$$

$$|F_2(x, \xi, \eta, \zeta) - F_2(x, \xi_1, \eta_1, \zeta)| \leq k(\zeta) \left|\widetilde{F}_2(x, \xi, \eta) - \widetilde{F}_2(x, \xi_1, \eta_1)\right| , \tag{4.5}$$

hold for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and any $(\xi, \eta) , (\xi_1, \eta_1) \in R^{n+1}$, $\forall \zeta, \ \zeta_1 \in R^1$, and $F_2(x, \xi, \eta, 0) = 0$, moreover there exists a function $\psi_1 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\psi_1(x) \geq 0$, $c(x, \xi, \eta, \zeta) \leq \psi_1(x)$ hold for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and any $(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \in R^N$, here $\|\psi_1\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \equiv \|\psi_1\|_{\infty} \leq 4^{-1}$.

---

[3]

$$\left\|D^{\beta} v\right\|_{p_0} \leq C(p_0, p_1, p_2, l, s, n) \times$$

$$\left[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} \|D^{\alpha} v\|_{p_1}\right]^{\theta} \times \|v\|_{p_2}^{1-\theta} ,$$

where $\theta \in \left[\frac{|\beta|}{l}, 1\right]$ and satisfy the equation

$$\frac{n}{p_0} - s = \theta\left(\frac{n}{p_1} - l\right) + (1 - \theta) \frac{n}{p_2},$$

the constant $C(p_0, p_1, p_2, l, s, n)$ is independent of $v(x)$; $p_0, p_1, p_2 \geq 1$, $0 \leq s < l$, $|\beta| = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i = s$, $\beta_i \geq 0$ be some numbers.

Assume the following denotations: $\|u\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \equiv \|u\|_p$ for any $p \in [1,\infty]$, and $\|u\|_{W^{l,p}(\Omega)} \equiv \|u\|_{l,p}$, for $u \in W^{l,p}(\Omega)$, $l \geq 1$.

So, we consider the operator $f : W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$, which is generated by the imposed problem.

Theorem 4. *Let conditions (i), (a), (b), (c) be fulfilled and parameters μ and ρ satisfy the following relations*

$$1 < \mu \leq \frac{4}{n-4} \text{ if } n \geq 5 \ \& \ 1 < \mu < \infty \quad \text{if } \ n = 2,3,4,$$

$$\rho \geq \frac{(\mu+2)\,n}{2\,(n+\mu)} \ \& \ \rho \leq 1 + \min\left\{\frac{\mu+2}{n+\mu}; \frac{2}{n-2}; \frac{\mu}{\mu+2}\right\}.$$

Then problem (4.1) is solvable in $W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

Proof. For the proof, it is enough to show that the operator $f : W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ fulfills all conditions of Theorem 1 (or Theorem 3). For this, we will estimate the following dual form

$$\langle f(u), g(u)\rangle = \langle -\Delta u + F(x,u,Du,\Delta u)\ ,\ -\Delta u\rangle,$$

where the operator g is determined in the form $g \equiv -\Delta : W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$, then we have

$$\langle -\Delta u + F(x,u,Du,\Delta u), -\Delta u\rangle = \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \langle F(x,u,Du,\Delta u), -\Delta u\rangle,$$

consequently we need to estimate the second term of this equation, i.e. the dual form: $\langle F(x,u,Du,\Delta u), -\Delta u\rangle$.

Thus using conditions (i), (a), (b), (c) we obtain

$$\langle F(x,u,Du,\Delta u), -\Delta u\rangle = -\langle F_0(x,u), \Delta u\rangle - \langle F_1(x,u,Du), \Delta u\rangle -$$

$$\langle F_2(x,u,Du,\Delta u), \Delta u\rangle = -\langle M\ |u|^{\mu}\ u + a_1(x,u), \Delta u\rangle -$$

$$\langle F_1(x,u,Du), \Delta u\rangle - \langle F_2(x,u,Du,\Delta u), \Delta u\rangle \geq \langle M(\mu+1)\ |u|^{\mu}\ \nabla u, \nabla u\rangle -$$

$$\|m_0\,|u|^{\nu} + \psi\|_2\,\|\Delta u\|_2 - \|m_1(x,u,Du)\ |\nabla u|^{\rho} + k(x)\|_2\,\|\Delta u\|_2 -$$

$$\|c(x,u,Du,\Delta u)\ |\Delta u|\|_2\,\|\Delta u\|_2 \geq M(\mu+1)\left\||u|^{\frac{\mu}{2}}\ \nabla u\right\|_2^2 -$$

$$\varepsilon_1\left\||u|^{\frac{\mu+2}{2}}\right\|_2 - \|M_1\|_\infty^2\,\||\nabla u|^{\rho}\|_2^2 - \left(\varepsilon + 4^{-1} + \|\psi_1\|_\infty\right)\|\Delta u\|_2^2 -$$

$$C\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, m_0, \|\psi\|_p, \|k\|_p\right), \quad \varepsilon, \varepsilon_1 \in (0,1)\,. \tag{4.6}$$

Hence we need to estimate the term $\||\nabla u|^{\rho}\|_2^2$ by using $\left\||u|^{\frac{\mu}{2}}\ u\right\|_{1,2}^2$ and $\|u\|_{2,2}^2$ for which we will use the known inequality (G-N-S). Using that we get

$$\langle F(x,u,Du,\Delta u), -\Delta u\rangle \geq \langle M(\varepsilon_1)(\mu+1)\ |u|^{\mu}\ \nabla u, \nabla u\rangle - \left(\varepsilon + 2^{-1}\right)\|\Delta u\|_2^2 -$$

$$\widehat{C}(\mu,\rho,n)\,\|M_1\|_\infty^2\,\|\Delta u\|_2^{\theta\rho}\,\|u\|_{\frac{(\mu+2)n}{n-2}}^{(1-\theta)\rho} - C\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, m_0, \|\psi\|_p, \|k\|_p\right),$$

where $\theta = [2\rho(n+\mu) - (\mu+2)n] \cdot [4\rho(\mu+1) - \rho\mu n]^{-1}$ for the considered case, and here $\widehat{C}(\mu,\rho,n)$ is the coefficient of the inequality G-N-S.

From here we obtain

$$\langle F(x,u,Du,\Delta u), -\Delta u\rangle \geq \langle M_{\varepsilon_1}(\mu+1)\ |u|^{\mu}\ \nabla u, \nabla u\rangle - \left(\varepsilon + \frac{3}{4}\right)\|\Delta u\|_2^2 -$$

$$\left(\widehat{C}\left(\mu,\rho,n\right)\left\|M_1\right\|_\infty^2\right)^2\left\|u\right\|_{\frac{(\mu+2)n}{n-2}}^{\mu+2}-C\left(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,m_0,\left\|\psi\right\|_p,\left\|k\right\|_p\right), \tag{4.7}$$

where $\left(\widehat{C}\left(\mu,\rho,n\right)\left\|M_1\right\|_\infty^2\right)^2<M$ by the condition *(b)*.

Now if we take into account (4.6) and (4.7), we get

$$\left\langle f\left(u\right),g\left(u\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle-\Delta u+F\left(x,u,Du,\Delta u\right),-\Delta u\right\rangle\geq\left(\frac{1}{4}-\varepsilon\right)\left\|\Delta u\right\|_2^2+$$

$$\widetilde{M}\ \left(\mu+1\right)\left\|\ \left|u\right|^{\frac{\mu}{2}}\ \nabla u\right\|_2^2-C\left(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_1,m_0,\left\|\psi\right\|_p,\left\|k\right\|_p\right). \tag{4.8}$$

So, condition 3 of Theorem 3 is fulfilled since the operator $f:W^{2,2}\left(\Omega\right)\cap W_0^{1,2}\left(\Omega\right)\longrightarrow L^2\left(\Omega\right)$ is bounded, that can be seen easily from its expression and the conditions of this theorem.

Thus it follows that problem (4.1) is densely solvable in $L^2\left(\Omega\right)$. Consequently, it remains to show that image $f\left(W^{2,2}\left(\Omega\right)\cap W_0^{1,2}\left(\Omega\right)\right)$ is closed in the space $L^2\left(\Omega\right)$.

Let $h_0\in L^2\left(\Omega\right)$ then there is a sequence $\left\{h_m\right\}_{m=1}^\infty\subset\operatorname{Im}\ f\subseteq$ that $L^2\left(\Omega\right)$ converges to the given h_0 in $L^2\left(\Omega\right)$, as *cl* $\operatorname{Im}\ f\equiv L^2\left(\Omega\right)$. For any h_m we have the subset $f^{-1}\left(h_m\right)$ and since $\left\{h_m\right\}$ is a bounded subset in $L^2\left(\Omega\right)$ then there is a bounded subset G of $W^{2,2}\left(\Omega\right)\cap W_0^{1,2}\left(\Omega\right)$ such that $G\cap f^{-1}\left(\left\{h_m\right\}_{m=1}^\infty\right)\neq\varnothing$, in addition $G\cap f^{-1}\left(h_m\right)\neq\varnothing$ for any h_m. Then we can choose a sequence $\left\{u_m\right\}\subset G$ such that $f\left(u_m\right)=h_m$ which belongs to the bounded subset G. From here, by using the reflexivity of the space $W^{2,2}\left(\Omega\right)\cap W_0^{1,2}\left(\Omega\right)$ we can select a subsequence $\left\{u_{m_k}\right\}_{k=1}^\infty\subseteq\left\{u_m\right\}_{m=1}^\infty$ that is a weakly convergent sequence in $W^{2,2}\left(\Omega\right)\cap W_0^{1,2}\left(\Omega\right)$, i.e. there is an element u_0 such that $u_{m_k}\rightharpoonup u_0$ in $W^{2,2}\left(\Omega\right)\cap W_0^{1,2}\left(\Omega\right)$ (may be after the choice of a subsequence of $\left\{u_{m_k}\right\}_{k=1}^\infty$), and consequently $u_{m_k}\longrightarrow u_0$ in $W^{1,p}\left(\Omega\right)$, $1\leq p<2^*$.

Thus, we get $F_0\left(x,u_{m_k}\right)\longrightarrow F_0\left(x,u_0\right)$, $F_1\left(x,u_{m_k},Du_{m_k}\right)\longrightarrow F_1\left(x,u_0,Du_0\right)$ in $L^2\left(\Omega\right)$ by the conditions of Theorem 4 that $F_i:W^{2,2}\left(\Omega\right)\cap W_0^{1,2}\left(\Omega\right)\longrightarrow L^2\left(\Omega\right)$, $i=0,1$, are continuous operators.

On the other hand for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist m_k, $m_l\geq m_k\left(\varepsilon\right)\geq1$ such that the inequality

$$\varepsilon>\left\|h_{m_k}-h_{m_l}\right\|_2\equiv\left\|f\left(u_{m_k}\right)-f\left(u_{m_l}\right)\right\|_2\geq$$

$$\frac{3}{4}\left\|\Delta\left(u_{m_k}-u_{m_l}\right)\right\|_2+\widehat{M}\left\|u_{m_k}-u_{m_l}\right\|_{2(\mu+1)}^{\mu+1}-$$

$$\left\|F_0\left(x,u_{m_k}\right)-F_0\left(x,u_{m_l}\right)\right\|_2-\left\|F_1\left(x,u_{m_k},Du_{m_k}\right)-F_1\left(x,u_{m_l},Du_{m_l}\right)\right\|_2-$$

$$k\left(\left\|\Delta u_{m_k}\right\|_2\right)\left\|\widetilde{F}_2\left(x,u_{m_k},Du_{m_k}\right)-\widetilde{F}_2\left(x,u_{m_l},Du_{m_l}\right)\right\|_2, \tag{4.9}$$

holds, where $u_{m_k}\longrightarrow u_0$ in $W^{1,p}\left(\Omega\right)$, $1\leq p<2^*$ and $u_{m_k}\rightharpoonup u_0$ in $W^{2,2}\left(\Omega\right)$. Hence we obtain that the last terms of (4.9) converge to zero under $m_k\nearrow\infty$, then we get

$$\left\|\Delta\left(u_{m_k}-u_{m_l}\right)\right\|_2\searrow0\quad\text{if}\quad m_k,m_l\nearrow\infty.$$

Consequently $\Delta u_{m_k}\longrightarrow\Delta u_0$ in $L^2\left(\Omega\right)$, $F_2\left(x,u_{m_k},Du_{m_k},\Delta u_{m_k}\right)\longrightarrow F_2\left(x,u_0,Du_0,\Delta u_0\right)$ in $L^2\left(\Omega\right)$ and from the equality

$$\left\langle-\Delta u_{m_k}+F\left(x,u_{m_k},Du_{m_k},\Delta u_{m_k}\right),v\right\rangle=\left\langle-\Delta u_{m_k},v\right\rangle+\left\langle F_0\left(x,u_{m_k}\right),v\right\rangle-$$

$$\left\langle F_1\left(x,u_{m_k},Du_{m_k}\right),v\right\rangle-\left\langle F_2\left(x,u_{m_k},Du_{m_k},\Delta u_{m_k}\right),v\right\rangle=\left\langle h_{m_k},v\right\rangle,\quad\forall v\in L_2\left(\Omega\right)\ \&\forall k\geq1,$$

we obtain that

$$\langle -\Delta u_0 + F\left(x, u_0, Du_0, \Delta u_0\right), v\rangle = \langle h_0, v\rangle, \quad \forall v \in L_2\left(\Omega\right).$$

Hence it follows that $h_0 \in \operatorname{Im} f$, i.e. $\operatorname{Im} f \equiv f\left(W^{2,2}\left(\Omega\right) \cap W_0^{1,2}\left(\Omega\right)\right) \equiv L^2\left(\Omega\right)$. $\square$

Remark 2. *The result of this theorem shows that we can consider the following problem*

$$-\Delta u + M \ |u|^{\mu} \ u = -a_1\left(x, u\right) - F_1\left(x, u, Du\right) - F_2\left(x, u, Du, \Delta u\right)$$

let the operators $G_0 : W^{2,2}\left(\Omega\right) \cap \overset{0}{W}{}^{1,2}\left(\Omega\right) \longrightarrow L^2\left(\Omega\right)$ *and* $G_1 : W^{2,2}\left(\Omega\right) \cap W_0^{1,2}\left(\Omega\right) \longrightarrow L^2\left(\Omega\right)$ *be defined by the expressions*

$$G_0\left(u\right) \equiv -\Delta u + M \ |u|^{\mu} \ u, \quad G_1\left(u\right) \equiv -a_1\left(x, u\right) - F_1\left(x, u, Du\right) - F_2\left(x, u, Du, \Delta u\right)$$

respectively, then existence of $G_0^{-1} : L^2\left(\Omega\right) \longrightarrow W^{2,2}\left(\Omega\right) \cap W_0^{1,2}\left(\Omega\right)$ *is known and* G_0^{-1} *is a bounded continuous operator. Now we determine the operator* $G\left(u\right) \equiv \left(G_0^{-1} \circ G_1\right)\ \left(u\right)$ *that acts from* $W^{2,2}\left(\Omega\right) \cap W_0^{1,2}\left(\Omega\right)$ *to* $W^{2,2}\left(\Omega\right) \cap W_0^{1,2}\left(\Omega\right)$ *and is bounded continuous operator under the conditions of Theorem 4. Hence we obtain that the operator* G *possesses a fixed point that allows us to investigate the problem on the existence of the eigenvalue of the operator* G_0 *relative to the operator* G_1*, i.e. study of the problem* $G_0\left(u\right) = \lambda G_1\left(u\right)$*. But in this case we can only conclude that* λ *will be dependent on* u*.*

Remark 3. *From the proof of this theorem it follows that the result of such type is true and in the case of the operator* $F\left(x, u, Du, \Delta u\right)$ *in the problem (4.1) is independent of* Δu*, i.e. it has the representation* $F\left(x, \xi, \eta, \zeta\right) \equiv F\left(x, \xi, \eta\right) \equiv F_0\left(x, \xi\right) + F_1\left(x, \xi, \eta\right)$ *for* $\left(x, \xi, \eta\right) \in \Omega \times \Re^{n+1}$*.*

5. Nonlinear Equation with $p-$Laplacian

On the open bounded domain $\Omega \subset R^n$ with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ consider the following problem

$$f\left(u\right) \equiv -\nabla\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\,\nabla u\right) + G\left(x, u, Du, \lambda, \mu\right) = h\left(x\right), \quad x \in \Omega \subset R^n, \tag{5.1}$$

$$u\left|_{\ \partial\Omega}\right. = 0, \quad n \geq 1,\ \Omega \in Lip, \quad h \in W^{-1,q}\left(\Omega\right). \tag{5.2}$$

Assume that

$$G\left(x, \xi, \eta, \lambda\right) = \mu G_0\left(x, \xi\right) + \lambda G_1\left(x, \xi, \eta\right), \tag{5.3}$$

holds for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and any $\left(\xi, \eta\right) \in R^{n+1}$, where $G_1\left(x, \xi, \eta\right)$ and $G_0\left(x, \xi\right)$ are some Caratheodory functions, $\lambda \in R$, $\mu \geq 0$ are some parameters.

5.1. Dense solvability. Let the following conditions

$$G_0\left(x, \xi\right) \cdot \xi \geq a_0\left(x\right)|\xi|^{p_0} - a_1\left(x\right), \quad a_0\left(x\right) \geq A_0 > 0; \tag{5.4}$$

$$|G_0\left(x, \xi\right)| \leq \widetilde{a}_0\left(x\right)|\xi|^{p_0-1} + \widetilde{a}_1\left(x\right), \quad \widetilde{a}_0\left(x\right), \widetilde{a}_1\left(x\right) \geq 0,$$

$$|G_1\left(x, \xi, \eta\right)| \leq b_0\left(x\right)|\eta|^{p_1} + b_1\left(x\right)|\xi|^{p_2} + b_2\left(x\right),\ b_j\left(x\right) \geq 0,\ j = 0, 1, 2, \tag{5.5}$$

hold for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and any $\left(\xi, \eta\right) \in R^{n+1}$ where $p_0, p_1, p_2 \geq 0$, $p > 1$ are some numbers, $a_k\left(x\right)$, $\widetilde{a}_k\left(x\right)$ and $b_j\left(x\right)$ are some functions, $k = 0, 1$ and $j = 0, 1, 2$.

Here we study the solvability of problem (5.1)-(5.2) in the generalized sense, i.e. a function $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is called a solution of the problem (5.1), (5.2) if u satisfies the equation

$$\langle f(u), v\rangle = \langle h, v\rangle, \quad v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

for any $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 5. *Let conditions (5.3) - (5.5) be fulfilled and* $p_0 - 1 \geq p_2 \geq 0$, $p > 1$, $p > p_1 \geq 0$. *Moreover, let* $a_k(x)$, $\widetilde{a}_k(x)$, $b_j(x)$ *be such functions that* $a_0, \widetilde{a}_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $a_1, \widetilde{a}_1 \in L^q(\Omega)$ *and* $b_0, b_1 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $b_2 \in L^q(\Omega)$. *If* p_1, p_0 *satisfy the inequalities* $p_1 \leq p - \frac{p}{p_0}$, $p_0 \leq p^* \equiv \frac{pn}{n-p}$, *then there exist a subset* $\mathcal{M} \subseteq W^{-1,q}(\Omega)$ *and some numbers* $\mu_0 > 0$, $C_0 > 0$ *such that* $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{W^{-1,q}} \equiv W^{-1,q}(\Omega)$, $q = \frac{p}{p-1} \equiv p'$, *and* $\mu \geq \mu_0 > 0$, $\lambda : |\lambda| \leq C_0$, *problem (5.1)-(5.2) is solvable in* $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ *for any* $h \in \mathcal{M}$; *moreover if* $\widetilde{p} = p_0$ *or* $p_2 + 1 = p_0$ *then* $C_0 \equiv C_0(A_0, b_0, b_1, \mu)$ *is sufficiently small number.*

Proof. Let $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{p_0}(\Omega)$ and consider the dual form

$$\langle f(u), u\rangle \equiv \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \langle G(x, u, Du, \lambda), u\rangle =$$

$$\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \langle \mu G_0(x, u), u\rangle + \lambda \langle G_1(x, u, Du), u\rangle,$$

then by using conditions (5.4) and (5.5), we get

$$\langle f(u), u\rangle \geq \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \mu \left\langle a_0(x) |u|^{p_0 - 2} u, u\right\rangle - \mu \| a_1\|_1 -$$

$$|\lambda| \langle b_0(x) |\nabla u|^{p_1}, |u|\rangle - |\lambda| \langle b_1(x) |u|^{p_2}, |u|\rangle - |\lambda| \langle b_2(x), |u|\rangle,$$

or

$$\langle f(u), u\rangle \geq \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \mu A_0 \|u\|_{p_0}^{p_0} - \mu \|a_1\|_1 - |\lambda| \int\limits_{\Omega} b_0(x) |\nabla u|^{p_1} |u| \, dx -$$

$$|\lambda| \int\limits_{\Omega} b_1(x) |u|^{p_2+1} \, dx - |\lambda| \int\limits_{\Omega} b_2(x) |u| \, dx. \tag{5.6}$$

Since $b_j \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $j = 0, 1$, it is enough to estimate first integral of the right side of inequality (5.6). For this, we have

$$|\lambda| \int\limits_{\Omega} b_0(x) |\nabla u|^{p_1} |u| \, dx \leq |\lambda| \|b_0\|_{\infty} \left[\varepsilon \||\nabla u|\|_p^p + c(\varepsilon) \|u\|_{\widetilde{p}}^{\widetilde{p}}\right].$$

By using the last inequality in (5.6) and taking into account the condition on p_1, we obtain

$$\langle f(u), u\rangle \geq (1 - \varepsilon |\lambda| \|b_0\|_{\infty}) \||\nabla u|\|_p^p + (\mu A_0 - \varepsilon_1) \|u\|_{p_0}^{p_0} -$$

$$c(\varepsilon) |\lambda| \|b_0\|_{\infty} \|u\|_{\widetilde{p}}^{\widetilde{p}} - |\lambda| \int\limits_{\Omega} b_1(x) |u|^{p_2+1} \, dx - C_{\varepsilon_1}\left(|\lambda|, \mu, \|a_1\|_q, \|b_2\|_q\right).$$

since $p_1 \leq p\left(1 - p_0^{-1}\right)$ by the conditions $\widetilde{p} \leq p_0$. Hence either of these cases take place: $\widetilde{p} < p_0$ and $p_2 + 1 < p_0$ or one of equations $\widetilde{p} = p_0$ or $p_2 + 1 = p_0$ holds, if $\widetilde{p} < p_0$ and $p_2 + 1 < p_0$ then we can estimate it by second term from right side of the previous inequality with using Young inequality, and if $\widetilde{p} = p_0$ or $p_2 + 1 = p_0$ then it is enough to choose number $|\lambda|$ sufficiently small. Thus we obtain the following inequality:

$$\langle f(u), u\rangle \geq (1-\varepsilon|\lambda|\|b_0\|_\infty)\,\||\nabla u|\|_p^p + (\mu A_0 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)\|u\|_{p_0}^{p_0}$$
$$-C_{\varepsilon_1}(|\lambda|, \mu, \varepsilon_2, \|a_1\|, \|b_1\|, \|b_2\|).$$

Consequently, inequality (2.1) of Theorem 1 is fulfilled, $|\lambda|$ must be sufficiently small, i.e. the statement of Theorem 5 is true since the operator

$$f : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{p_0}(\Omega) \longrightarrow W^{-1,q}(\Omega) + L^{q_0}(\Omega),\ q_0 = \frac{p_0}{p_0-1},$$

is bounded by virtue of the obtained estimations here. □

5.2. Everywhere solvability. Now we reduce the conditions under which imposed problem (5.1)-(5.2) is everywhere solvable. Let conditions (5.3) - (5.5) be fulfilled and consider the following conditions

$$|G_0(x,\xi) - G_0(x,\xi_1)| \leq c_0\left(x, \widetilde{\xi}\right)\ |\xi - \xi_1|,$$

$$|G_1(x,\xi,\eta) - G_1(x,\xi_1,\eta_1)| \leq c_1\left(x, \widetilde{\xi}, \widetilde{\eta}\right)\ |\eta - \eta_1|, \tag{5.7}$$

hold for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, and any (ξ,η), $(\xi_1,\eta_1) \in \Re \times \Re^n$, where $c_0(x,\xi)$, $c_1(x,\xi,\eta)$ are some Caratheodory functions such that $\widetilde{\xi} = \widetilde{\xi}(\xi,\xi_1)$, $\widetilde{\eta} = \widetilde{\eta}(\eta,\eta_1)$ are continuous functions, and moreover $c_1(x,v,\nabla v)$, $c_0(x,v)$ are bounded operators such that if $v(x)$ belongs to a bounded subset D of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{p_0}(\Omega)$, i.e. if $\|v\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\cap L^{p_0}(\Omega)} \leq K_0$, then

$$\|c_1(x,v,\nabla v)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq K_1, \quad \|c_0(x,v)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq K_2,$$

for some numbers K_0, $K_1, K_2 > 0$, i.e.

$$c_j(x,\cdot,\cdot) : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L_{p_0}(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega),\ j = 0,1, \tag{5.8}$$

are bounded operators.

Then

$$|\langle G_1(x,u,\nabla u) - G_1(x,v,\nabla v), u - v\rangle| \leq$$
$$\|c_1(x, \widetilde{u}(u,v), \nabla\widetilde{u}(\nabla u, \nabla v))\|_\infty \|\nabla u - \nabla v\|_p \|u - v\|_q,$$

holds for any $u, v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{p_0}(\Omega)$. Hence we get

$$\langle f(u) - f(v), u - v\rangle \equiv \left\langle |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u - |\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v, \nabla(u-v)\right\rangle +$$
$$\mu\langle G_0(x,u) - G_0(x,v), u - v\rangle + \lambda\langle G_1(x,u,Du) - G_1(x,v,Dv), u - v\rangle \geq$$
$$\widehat{C}_0\|\nabla(u-v)\|_p^p + \mu\left\langle a(x)\left(|u|^{p_0-2}u - |v|^{p_0-2}v\right), u - v\right\rangle,$$

and we obtain the following inequality by using conditions (5.4), (5.7) and (5.8)

$$\langle f(u) - f(v), u - v\rangle \geq \widehat{C}\|\nabla(u-v)\|_p^p + \widehat{A}_0\|u-v\|_{p_0}^{p_0} -$$
$$\|c_1(x,u,\nabla u,v,\nabla v)\|_\infty \|\nabla u - \nabla v\|_p \|u - v\|_q.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|f(u) - f(v)\|_{W_q^{-1}(\Omega)} \cdot \|\nabla(u-v)\|_p \geq \widehat{C}\|\nabla(u-v)\|_p^p + \widehat{A}_0\|u-v\|_{p_0}^{p_0} -$$
$$\|c_1(x,u,\nabla u,v,\nabla v)\|_\infty \left[\varepsilon\|\nabla(u-v)\|_p^p + c(\varepsilon)\|u-v\|_q^q\right],$$

or

$$\|f(u) - f(v)\|_{W_q^{-1}(\Omega)} \cdot \|\nabla(u-v)\|_p \geq \widehat{C}_1\|\nabla(u-v)\|_p^{p-1} +$$

$$\widehat{A}_0 \left\| u - v \right\|_{p_0}^{p_0} - c\left(\varepsilon\right) \left\| u - v \right\|_q^q .$$

Thus we get that the conditions of Corollary 1 are fulfilled, i.e. if we continue this proof as in the section 4 then we obtain that the following result is true.

Theorem 6. *Let conditions (5.3)-(5.5), (5.7) and (5.8) be fulfilled and the numbers* λ, μ *satisfy the conditions of Theorem 5, then problem (5.1)-(5.2) is solvable in* $W_0^{1,p}\left(\Omega\right) \cap L^{p_0}\left(\Omega\right)$ *for any* $h \in W^{-1,q}\left(\Omega\right)$.

Remark 4. *It should be noted that a remark similar to remark 2 takes place for the problem investigated here. Moreover, we can obtain the same conclusion for the considered general case. Therefore, for the investigation of the spectrum of the nonlinear operators by using the fixed-point theorem mentioned above, we need to consider some particular cases of these problems.*

References

[1] K. N. Soltanov, Perturbation of the mapping and solvability theorems in the Banach space, NA, TMA, 72 (2010), no. 1, 164–175 .

[2] C. S. Barroso, Semilinear Elliptic Equations and Fixed Ponts, Proc. AMS 133 (2004), no. 3, 745-749.

[3] M. Chipot, F. Weissler, On the Elliptic Problem $\Delta u - |\nabla u|^q + \lambda u^p = 0$, Nonlinear Diffusion Equations and their equilibruim states, I, Berkeley, CA, Math Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 12 (1986), Springer, N-Y, 237-243.

[4] G. Infante, J. R. L. Webb, Nonlinear non-local boundary-value problems and perturbed Hammerstein integral equations. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 49 (2006), no. 3, 637–656.

[5] F. Li, Zh. Liang, Q. Zhang, Existence of solutions to a class of nonlinear second order two-point boundary value problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 312 (2005), no. 1, 357-373.

[6] J. Mawhin, Boundary value problems for nonlinear perturbations of some p-Laplacians. Fixed point theory and its applications, Banach Center Publ., 77, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, (2007), 201–214.

[7] M. Montenegro, M. Montenegro, Existence and Nonexistence of solutions for Quasilinear Elliptic Equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 245 (2000), 303-316.

[8] W.V. Petryshyn, Generalized Topologikal Degree and Semilinear Equations, (1995), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, x+240 pp

[9] Qi-Jian Tan, Zhong-Jian Leng, The method of upper and lower solutions for diffraction problems of quasilinear elliptic reaction–diffusion systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 380 (2011), no 1, 363-376.

[10] D. Ruiz, A priori Estimates and Existence of Positive Solutions for strongly Nonlinear Problems, J. Diff. Eq., 199 (2004), no 1, 96-114.

[11] K.N. Soltanov, Nonlinear mappings and the solvability of nonlinear equations.Soveit.Math. Dokl., v. 34 (1987), no 1, 242-246.

[12] K.N. Soltanov, *Some applications of nonlinear analysis to differential equations.* (2002), Baku, ELM, (Russian), iii+292 .

[13] E. Zeidler, Nolinear Functional Analysis and its Applications II/B: Nonlinaer Monotone Operators, (1990), Springer-Verlag, Berlin....viii+733.

[14] K. N. Soltanov, On semi-continuous mappings, equations and inclusions in the Banach space, Hacettepe J. Math. & Statist. 37 (2008), no. 1, 9–24.

[15] H. Brezis, *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*, (2011), Universitext, Springer, New York, xiv+599 pp

[16] K. Yosida, Functional analysis. (1980), 123, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heid., N-Y, xii+501.

Dep. Math. Fac. Sci. Hacettepe University, Beytepe, Ankara, TR-06800, TURKEY ;, Tel. +90 312 2977860; Fax: +90 312 2992017
E-mail address: `soltanov@hacettepe.edu.tr ; sultan_kamal@hotmail.com`
URL: `http://www.mat.hacettepe.edu.tr/personel/akademik/ksoltanov/index.html`